## TOWN OF CORTLANDT PLANNING AND ZONING BOARDS

ZONING BOARD MEETING

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Wai Man Chin, Vice-Chairman

Chris Beloff, Member

Michael Fleming, Member

Frank Franco, Member

Michelle Piccolo Hill, Member

Benito Martinez, Member

Tom Walsh, Member

## ALSO PRESENT:

Chris Kehoe, Director of Planning

Michael J. Cunningham, Deputy Town Attorney

1	April 13, 2023
2	(The board meeting commenced at 7:00 p.m.)
3	MULTIPLE: I pledge allegiance to the
4	flag of the United States of America and to the
5	Republic for which it stands, one nation under
6	God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for
7	all.
8	MR. WAI MAN CHIN: Roll call.
9	MR. CHRIS KEHOE: Ms. Hill?
10	MS. MICHELLE PICCOLO HILL: Here.
11	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Martinez?
12	MR. BENITO MARTINEZ: Here.
13	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Franco?
14	MR. FRANK FRANCO: Here.
15	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Chin?
16	MR. WAI MAN CHIN: Here.
17	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Walsh?
18	MR. TOM WALSH: Here.
19	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Beloff?
20	MR. CHRIS BELOFF: Here.
21	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Fleming?
22	MR. MICHAEL FLEMING: Here.
23	MR. CHIN: First thing is we have
24	adoption of the minutes of the meeting from last

	D = == 1
1	Page 4 April 13, 2023
2	month.
3	MR. BELOFF: So I move to adopt the
4	minutes.
5	MR. WALSH: Second.
6	MR. CHIN: All in favor?
7	MULTIPLE: Aye.
8	MR. CHIN: So moved.
9	MR. KEHOE: We're going to, Ms. Piccolo
10	Hill abstains, abstains from voting on the
11	minutes.
12	MR. CHIN: Okay. The first agenda is old
13	business, is the application of Ben Cozzi of MJD
14	Contracting on East Hill Road. And this is a
15	closed public hearing. David, you want to just,
16	no, excuse me, Ben, just indicate what we're
17	doing.
18	MR. BEN COZZI: Yes, hi, we're here
19	requesting a variance for a property at East Hill
20	Road to incorporate a garage into it and 600
21	square feet to the property.
22	MS. COZZI: 612 and three-quarters.
23	MR. COZZI: My apologies, go ahead.
24	MS. COZZI: 612 and three-quarters
	II

2 square feet.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

MR. BELOFF: This is case number 2023-2, we say that.

MR. CHIN: Yes, this is case 2023-2, and that was actually my case. Okay. I just want to say a few things based on the five factors and everything else that we talked about on here. The variance that you're asking for is not substantial in my opinion, based on the five factors all the way throughout. Okay. By adding a garage, I think that if you didn't add the garage and you put the house the way you showed it on the plans, the house would be a lot smaller, number one, and I think that without a garage the house would bring down property values more so than if you had a garage, even though it's, you're adding 600 square feet, it's not going to be quite as big as the properties next to you because they have a double lot size compared to your single lot size. Okay. And that's how I feel about this thing, alright. So again, I would not have a problem with granting this variance. Anybody else on the board?

24

1

MR. WALSH: As I go through the five factors, I [unintelligible] [00:03:16] a little differently on my thoughts on it. I do feel, you know, the benefit sought by the applicant can be achieved by some other method which is feasible for the applicant to pursue that would not require a variance. The house is a non-conforming legal lot, you're allowed to build a 1,700 and, what is it 1,750 square foot home. I think the house can be designed and built within that required allowance and a variance not requested. So I'm going to fall on I'm not in favor of granting this variance is my opinion. Other factors I go through, whether the request is substantial, it is a 35 percent request. In my book, that is, that's getting on the threshold of, you know, a substantial request for variance. And adverse effect of the neighborhood, I don't know if that would have an effect, but I don't have to agree with all five factors and really factor two, I do feel another method could be obtained or achieved through a redesign and not be in factor of granting the variance?

2 MR. CHIN: Anybody else?

MR. FLEMING: Anybody else want to go?

4 | Can I?

2.3

5 MR. FRANCO: Yeah, go ahead, you go 6 first.

MR. FLEMING: Let me last say, during our last hearing, our former chair, David Douglas, said that he thought it was ridiculous that you could build this house, a house on this lot as of right, but nonetheless, the zoning is what it is. Learning about the history of how this neighborhood was developed, I understand why you can. I also am not I would say a fan, of being able to do it. But the zoning law allows you to do it. That being said, I tried to keep my personal feelings out of it, I think it's a bad idea to build a house here out of it and just apply the five factors to your request.

The five factors, I want to go through them one at a time, and tell you what I think. An undesirable change it would produce in the character of the neighborhood or a detriment to nearby properties will be created by granting the

area variance, I don't think there will be an undesirable change. I'm going to call this a skinny lot. There are two other skinny lots that are easily observable when you're driving up East Hill. Neither one of them have an undesirable effect to the character of the neighborhood. I'm sure the next door neighbors would rather not have their properties be so close to their house, but again, it doesn't affect the area in a terrible way.

Can the benefit sought by the applicant be achieved in another method? Tom and I talked about this. We kind of disagree with how that applies. I don't think you can build a 600 foot house, you know, without our approval and I view that very literally. So I don't think that cuts against you.

Is the area substantial? You're right on the cusp, and, you know, 30 percent is a pretty big, big number but is it substantial? I don't know, but even if that one was one that cut against you, I don't think the others do. I don't think the proposed variance will have an adverse

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

effect or impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood. And I also don't think that the alleged difficulty was self-created other than every single area variance is self-created. So I am going to vote yes, to allow you to have a variance, but I did just want to express what my thoughts were and why.

One other thing while I can, the next door neighbor, Mr. Patel, had written to us and asked us if we could consider four conditions if we are to do this. We talked about them and if we're going to approve this in a vote, we actually think three of them are appropriate and two of them are part of the planning process anyway, so if we do vote for this, three conditions will be attached. One will be the rain garden will be eliminated, which I think already has been, but nonetheless, the condition will be that you not use that rain garden, infiltrators and dry wells per approval from the planning, building -- the building and code enforcement department will be used. Again, a grading and retaining wall plan per the approval of the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

building and code enforcement department, I'm not going to tell you you have to put a retaining wall in, but if the building and code enforcement department says you do, you have to. The third one, the third one is not actually a part of the building and code enforcement, but we're going to require you to put a six-foot green giant arborvitae privacy fence between you and Mr. Patel's property line if we approve this variance. The other thing that was asked was that the garage space be conditioned not to be converted to living space. We're not going to treat you differently than any other neighbor. If you ever wanted to do that, you'd have to go the planning board. I'm not sure you'd be able to do it. But any neighbor, any house in this neighborhood would be treated the same, so we're not going to put that condition on --

MS. COZZI: Thank you.

MR. FLEMING: -- on this application, so

MR. WALSH: I just have one correction,
I don't know if correction or not, but you said

no infiltrators. But I think that's the option

1	April 13, 2023
2	of, instead of the rain garden, adding
3	infiltrators.
4	MR. FLEMING: Adding infiltrators, oh,
5	I'm sorry.
6	MR. WALSH: I just wanted a
7	clarification on that that.
8	MR. FLEMING: I apologize. I misspoke.
9	But again, it's, it's what the building and code
10	enforcement department requires. We're not going
11	to tell you you have to put a certain number or
12	type of building infiltrators.
13	MR. CHIN: Or the engineering
14	department.
15	MR. FLEMING: The engineering, our code
16	enforcement department will
17	MR. WALSH: I just don't want it
18	written, the D & O written, you know, no
19	infiltrators or rain gardens.
20	MR. FLEMING: Absolutely.
21	MR. WALSH: That's all. A clarification
22	on that.
23	MR. FLEMING: Thanks, Tom.
24	MR. WALSH: As it's written up.

2.3

MR. COZZI: We've already modified the site plan showing the infiltrators and removing the rain garden.

MR. FLEMING: Okay. Anybody else?

MR. CHIN: Anybody else?

MR. BELOFF: Yeah, I got a couple.

MR. FRANCO: This is Frank Franco and I don't know, after reviewing the five factors, and, you know, given where we saw the property and the size of the property, I'm more in favor for points two and three. I do think it's a substantial request variance and given the size of the lot, I think it would be better to build the house to spec and not, not require the variance, so I would not be in favor of building, you know, granting the variance. And most of the other reasons I would have, have already been stated by Mr. Walsh, so, but that's my decision.

MR. MARTINEZ: And on my position, most of the thing that I agree with was stated by Mr. Chin. I believe you have the right, I mean not I believe, it is you have the right to build, and I fully believe that if you built the house with

2.3

the garage, it would be better for the neighborhood because it will have more value to it. So, analyzing all the factors, I'm going yes for it. As long as you follow the requirement that Michael also pointed out to you have Mr. Patel. But my vote is yes.

MS. COZZI: Thank you.

MR. BELOFF: Alright I tend to agree with most of what Michael said. Through the site visit, going up there, it was good, it was a good site visit to actually see the area and going through the factors would it create an undesirable change, I would have to say yes for either side of the neighbors. But still no matter what, it's a buildable lot, you know.

The benefit sought by the applicant achieved by some other method, I don't think so. Whether the request is substantial, again, no. The proposed variance would have an adverse impact, I mean I could go either way with that I guess. I mean driving up there, I seen other houses that, with lots possibly the same size or smaller, that, that had a house similar that

1	Page 14 April 13, 2023
2	you're proposing, with a garage, so. So for me
3	it's a yes. I agree mostly with Michael.
4	MS. COZZI: Thank you.
5	MR. FLEMING: So I'm going to make a
6	motion to approve the request for a variance,
7	with the three conditions that I mentioned.
8	MR. MARTINEZ: And I second.
9	MR. CUNNINGHAM: And on the question,
10	Mr. Fleming, you can correct me if I'm wrong, but
11	I think you also want any tree removal, any steep
12	slope permits to issued by a director of
13	department of technical services?
14	MR. FLEMING: Yes, I'm sorry, we did
15	talk about that. So, in order to do this, you
16	need a steep slope permit and you need tree, tree
17	removal permits. This board is not going to be
18	the board that you'll come to for that. The
19	approval for tree removal and for steep slope
20	permit will be applied for and done through our
21	code enforcement department.
22	MR. CUNNINGHAM: And department of
23	technical services.
24	MR. KEHOE: But I just want to put on

1

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

the record that we talked about and it's been 2 mentioned here, that the zoning board did do a 3 site inspection, they saw the character of the 4 5 property, they saw the trees, they saw the slopes, they saw how they relate how they relate 6 7 to the adjacent homes, and by granting this variance, they're not approving the permits, 8 9 because that would be done at a staff level, but 10 I want to say that on the record, they're aware 11 of the environmental implication of the 12 construction of a house here, and Michael 13 Cunningham, correct me if I'm wrong, I don't want 14 to put words in people's mouths, but you've taken 15 that into consideration as part of your vote? 16 MR. FLEMING: We, we did. We spoke about 17 18

MR. FLEMING: We, we did. We spoke about specifically steep slope and tree removal permits at our, at our public session before this meeting. We absolutely considered whether or not we would begin to even do that or the town's code enforcement division and technical services division are the appropriate parties. We thought they were. And as part of our, as part of our division before our vote, we did consider all

1	April 13, 2023
2	those factors.
3	MR. KEHOE: And one other thing too.
4	With what you said with respect to Mr. Patel's
5	request, one way of looking at those, those are
6	the minimum requests. You can do more if, if the
7	code and engineering department want you to do
8	more or require that you do more, that's that you
9	will work out with them at the time of the
10	building permit. Because I don't think you
11	specifically mentioned the fence, you mentioned
12	the arborvitae screening, but I think Mr. Patel
13	is also requesting the fence.
14	MR. CHIN: I did hear the fence.
15	MS. COZZI: Yeah, they said fence.
16	MR. FLEMING: I thought I said fence.
17	MR. KEHOE: Did you? Okay. Alright,
18	fine. But I'm not sure a ten-foot fence is
19	MR. FLEMING: No, six foot.
20	MR. BELOFF: No, no, not ten, yeah, six,
21	I believe he said six.
22	MR. WALSH: We read the
23	MR. CHIN: A ten-foot fence, you would
24	need a variance.

1	April 13, 2023
2	MR. KEHOE: Right.
3	MR. FLEMING: But the condition that I
4	read, if I didn't read it correctly, it was a
5	six-foot fence, a green giant arborvitae privacy
6	fence.
7	MR. KEHOE: And, and what are you
8	reading that from?
9	MR. FLEMING: This is Mr. Patel's email
10	to us.
11	MR. KEHOE: Because I have a March 16th
12	letter from Mr. Patel in which he requests a ten-
13	foot fence.
14	MR. WALSH: We got that, part of our
15	package that's here.
16	MR. KEHOE: Okay. So you have a better,
17	hopefully, alright so
18	MR. FLEMING: I have a subsequent email
19	or letter from Mr. Patel. It is dated March 16th.
20	It does ask for a six-foot fence and when we
21	discussed this, we talked about a six-foot fence
22	and that is a condition of our approval.
23	MS. COZZI: And we have no problem with

24

that.

	$\mathbb{D}_{2}$
1	Page 18 April 13, 2023
2	MR. CHIN: So we have a motion
3	MR. FLEMING: So there's a motion that's
4	been seconded for a vote.
5	MR. CHIN: Right, second.
6	MR. MARTINEZ: I seconded, I guess call,
7	call for a vote.
8	MR. CHIN: Oh
9	MR. BEHOFF: Aren't we going to take a
10	poll since we're not
11	MR. CHIN: Yeah, we'll make a motion for
12	it.
13	MR. KEHOE: Alright. Alright. So I'll
14	have to do the role, okay.
15	MR. CHIN: Yeah.
16	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Martinez?
17	MR. MARTINEZ: Yes.
18	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Franco?
19	MR. FRANCO: No.
20	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Chin?
21	MR. CHIN: Yes.
22	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Walsh?
23	MR. WALSH: No.
24	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Beloff?

	Page 19
1	April 13, 2023
2	MR. BELOFF: Yes.
3	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Fleming?
4	MR. FLEMING: Yes.
5	MR. KEHOE: And Ms. Piccolo Hill is
6	MS. HILL: I'm abstaining.
7	MR. KEHOE: abstaining from this
8	case.
9	MR. MARTINEZ: And for the record, I
10	meant to say yes.
11	MR. KEHOE: Yeah, I got it. So the
12	motion carries four to two.
13	MR. CHIN: Okay. So that's it. So we
14	will, right now, we will have that DNO made up
15	MR. KEHOE: Right.
16	MR. CHIN: from our staff, and it'll
17	take maybe a couple of weeks to get it?
18	MR. KEHOE: Well, no. I'll do it. It's
19	got to be done in five days.
20	MR. CHIN: Oh, right, oh, okay.
21	MR. KEHOE: Right, so you're trusting me
22	that I'll put everything in, because you're not
23	going to see it again.
24	MR. CHIN: Right.

1	April 13, 2023
2	MR. KEHOE: I mean you're going to see
3	it because I have to bring it to you for
4	signature.
5	MR. CHIN: Right. Right.
6	MR. KEHOE: But I'll and you can take
7	a look at it and I'll work with legal, but we'll
8	get those conditions in there. And obviously, you
9	need to acquire whatever permits you need from
10	the code enforcement office.
11	MS. COZZI: Yes, thank you.
12	MR. CHIN: And all the other stuff that
13	you're gonna need.
14	MR. COZZI: Mr. Chairman and members of
15	the board, thanks for taking the time to consider
16	this case. We appreciate it very much.
17	MS. COZZI: Thank you.
18	MR. CHIN: You're welcome.
19	MR. MARTINEZ: You're welcome, and good
20	luck with everything.
21	MS. COZZI: Thank you.
22	MR. KEHOE: Alright.
23	MR. CHIN: Our next case is adjourned
24	public hearing of David Fornelos.

2.3

MR. WALSH: This is my case, case 2023
4. He's asked for a continuance until the May -
what's the date of the May meeting?

MR. CHIN: The May meeting is --

MR. KEHOE: May 25th.

Mr. WALSH: -- May 25th.

MR. KEHOE: And just, sorry, just for the record, Mr. Fornelos requested that this case be adjourned until the next month. And what I attempted to do is I sent numerous emails. I tried to catch anyone who had sent me an email from the neighborhood to email back to them, so he's going to revise his application, change it around, because he heard the comments from the public and he'll be back on the 25th. I apologize if you've emailed me, but you can always get back in touch with me again in case something changes, so. Okay.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: He took his sign down too, by the way.

MR. KEHOE: Well, the sign has to go up the first time and then it's sort of the burden for us to keep in touch, okay.

	Daga C
1	Page 2 April 13, 2023
2	MR. CUNNINGHAM: If either of you would
3	like to speak, though, you can still speak on the
4	matter, but there's no pressure to do so.
5	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: [unintelligible]
6	[00:17:14] from the last time. We'll see what he
7	does with his revisions.
8	MR. KEHOE: Okay. Alright. Yeah.
9	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Anything he's had
10	to [unintelligible] [00:17:19] I'm also a
11	neighbor, I lie right across the street from him.
12	MR. KEHOE: Okay. Right. So, he, he did
13	hear what was said, he's going to revise the
14	drawings, you can check with my office. Also,
15	they get posted to our website about a week or
16	ten days before the meeting. You'll have a chance
17	to look at them to see if he's addressed all or
18	any of the neighborhood concerns and then May
19	25th, we'll be back here.

MR. WALSH: So we kept the public, the public hearing is still open on that case.

MR. CHIN: Do we have a motion to adjourn until May?

20

21

22

23

24

MR. FLEMING: Okay. Move to adjourn the

1	April 13, 2023
2	public hearing, oh, sorry, it's yours.
3	MR. WALSH: Case 2023-4, make a motion
4	to adjourn it to the May 20 or was it 25th?
5	MR. CHIN: May 25th, a meeting.
6	MR. WALSH: To the May 25th meeting.
7	MR. FLEMING: Seconded.
8	MR. CHIN: All, all in favor?
9	MULTIPLE: Aye.
10	MR. CHIN: Motion carries, adjourned.
11	Alright. Our next case is our new public hearing
12	for, application for building permit services for
13	the property of Matteo and Rita Velardo.
14	MR. FLEMING: Whose case is this one?
15	MR. BELOFF: This is my case, case
16	number 2023-5, like what he said, applicant's
17	building permit services Matteo and Rita Velardo,
18	the address 11 Lent Avenue. And I guess, you have
19	something.
20	MS. NORA HILDINGER: Good evening. I
21	should say happy summer I guess, instead of happy
22	spring. Good evening to the chairman and to the
23	members of the board. My name is Nora Hildinger.
24	I am representing Building Permit Services and

2.3

our client is Matteo Velardo. And a little background on this. The Velardos purchased the property in 1987. At that time there was a pool there. It's in the exact same location, and it's the exact same size. At the time, at that time, the pool met zoning requirements. It was four feet off the property line. That was the zoning requirement at the time. And furthermore, at that time, pools were not required, above ground pools were exempt from the New York State building code, so there was no building permit required. So the pool at that time was a completely legal pool.

In 1992, there was the zoning revision program, and at that time, many of the zoning codes got revised, and amended. And at that time they amended all pools would require a six-foot setback regardless of what zone they were in. So the pool is there, it's happily moving along. And in 1992, the zoning changed from four feet to six feet. Now, here's the pool and it doesn't require a building permit so it's never got picked up. So how did this get picked up?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

2.4

The owner went to get a generator permit and was required to get a survey and code enforcement saw on the survey that it was fourfeet off the property line and said you're going to need a variance. So hence, here we are. And that's the history of it.

And so, I'm going to go right into the five factors. I don't think it will change the -make any undesirable change in the neighborhood. It's been there for 34 years and nothing, it hasn't changed anything in those 34 years. There's no other way to have this pool without the variance. They would have to take down the pool. That's factor number two. Factor number three, I mean if you went by percentages, 1.8 -we're seeking a 1.8 foot variance. I don't know is that substantial? I'll say it's not substantial. Would the, if the variance was granted, would it change the essential character of the neighborhood or impair any of the adjacent properties? I don't believe -- the pool has been there and I don't see any of the neighbors here objecting. They all got their notices. So I don't

2.3

-- I think by their absence, they're giving their compliance.

MR. KEHOE: Just for the record, I did receive three or four phone calls and when I explained the request, no one had any issues with it.

MS. HILDINGER: Oh, good. So, they just wanted clarification that the pool is what it is and I don't think anybody has any objections to it. And the fifth factor, was it self-created. I know this one, I'm going to so, no, it wasn't self-created because the zoning was changed. But, you can always, Chairman Chin always likes to say it's self-created. But maybe in this instance, it wasn't completely self-created.

MR. BELOFF: Thank you. I'll tell you, I don't think, for me to go through all the five factors, I have nothing negative to say, to add to what you just presented. Does anybody else have any comments?

MR. CHIN: No, I mean again, because the zoning changed, and it went from four foot to six foot, that's not your fault, you know what I

1 April 13, 2023 mean? That's the town who made the changes, thus, 2 so you're kind of [unintelligible] [00:23:04] is 3 grandfathered in and prior to zoning on that, so 4 5 I have no problem with that also. MR. MARTINEZ: I don't have any problem 6 7 with it either, and I can see Velardo family has a good neighbor, so none of them are here, they 8 approve for you to --9 10 MR. FLEMING: I have no problem and I 11 hope the pool continues to be enjoyed for a very 12 long time. 13 MR. CHIN: Today and tomorrow. 14 I have no problem with it and MS. HILL: 15 I appreciate you writing up the five factors and 16 spelling it all out for me. 17 MR. FRANCO: Yeah, same here, it was 18 prior to zoning. I think if it was a brand new 19 pool, we would say obviously put it at the right 20 distance, but given it was sitting there and it 21 was legit at one point, I'm okay, being it prior 22 to zoning changes.

> Geneva Worldwide, Inc. 256 West 38th Street, 10th Floor, New York, NY 10018

audience would like to speak?

2.3

24

MR. CHIN: Alright, anybody in the

1	April 13, 2023
2	MR. FLEMING: Do you have any
3	suggestions? [laughter]
4	MR. BELOFF: I was just going to say
5	there's no public comments or anything, except
6	for phone calls? That's it.
7	MR. WALSH: Nobody on, no one on Zoom?
8	MR. BELOFF: If that's everybody, I make
9	a move to close the public hearing.
10	MR. FLEMING: Second.
11	MR. CHIN: 2023-5, yes, second.
12	MR. BELOFF: Alright. So on the case of
13	20
14	MR. KEHOE: Did you vote on it?
15	MR. FLEMING: We've got to vote.
16	MR. WALSH: Vote to close.
17	MS. HILL: I think all in favor
18	MR. FLEMING: You've got to say, you've
19	got to say all in favor.
20	MR. CHIN: All in favor?
21	MULTIPLE: Aye.
22	MR. CHIN: I keep on forgetting.
23	MR. BELOFF: Alright. The case of 2023-
24	5, I'd like to grant the area variance for the

	Decree 100
1	Page 29 April 13, 2023
2	1.8 foot.
3	MR. FLEMING: This is a SEQRA Type 2.
4	MR. BELOFF: Yeah, a SEQRA Type 2, no
5	further compliance is required.
6	MR. FLEMING: I second his motion.
7	MR. CHIN: Second? All in favor?
8	MULTIPLE: Aye.
9	MR. CHIN: Okay. Your variance is
10	granted.
11	MS. HILDINGER: Thank you.
12	MR. BELOFF: Good luck. Move to close
13	the hearing.
14	MR. CHIN: Any other applications? None?
15	Do I have a motion to close the hearing?
16	MR. FLEMING: Second.
17	MR. MARTINEZ: Second.
18	MR. CHIN: All in favor?
19	MULTIPLE: Aye.
20	MR. CHIN: We're closed.
21	
22	
23	
24	

## CERTIFICATE OF ACCURACY

I, Ryan Manaloto, certify that the foregoing transcript of the Zoning Board Meeting of the Town of Cortlandt on April 13, 2023 was prepared using the required transcription equipment and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Certified By

Phlot

Date: April 26, 2023

GENEVAWORLDWIDE, INC

256 West 38th Street - 10th Floor New York, NY 10018